WHAT CONSTITUTES A TALLER BUILDING?

T3 in Minneapolis, Atlanta and Toronto. Trafalgar Place in London. 25 King in Brisbane. Brock Commons in Vancouver. These structures from around the world are all taller wood hybrid buildings constructed within the past five years. The Council on Tall Buildings and Urban Habitat (CTBUH) provides definitions for what constitutes “tall” around the globe. For the CTBUH, “tall” is subjective, as a high-rise in a small European town might get lost in a city like New York.

The CTBUH defines the materials from which tall buildings are comprised. Buildings constructed from timber are permitted through “the use of localized non-timber connections between timber elements” and in some cases a “floor system of concrete planks or concrete slab on top of timber beams” since timber still acts as the primary structure.7

In 2019, the International Code Council (ICC) announced approval of 14 code changes as part of the 2021 International Building Code (IBC) that will allow mass timber structures of up to 18 stories. Included in these approved code changes is the introduction of three new construction types—IV-A, IV-B and IV-C, with varying degrees of noncombustible protection required as follows:

- Type IV-A: 18 stories maximum, fully protected mass timber elements with fire-resistance ratings of 3 hours for bearing walls and structural frame construction, 2 hours for floor construction and 1.5 hours for roof construction.
- Type IV-B: 12 stories maximum, with protected exterior and limited exposed interior mass timber with fire-resistance ratings of 2 hours for bearing walls, structural frame and floor construction and 1 hour for roof construction.
- Type IV-C: 9 stories maximum, protected exterior and exposed mass timber interior with fire-resistance ratings of 2 hours for bearing walls, structural frame and floor construction and 1 hour for roof construction.

Canadian code, too, has progressed to include taller mass timber structures. The new 2020 National Building Code of Canada (NBCC) will permit 12 stories of mass timber construction, taking into account its strength and fire resistance ratings.

Over the past several years, a number of tall wood projects have been completed around the world, demonstrating successful applications of new wood and mass timber technologies. With rising demand for new urban buildings, and
increased interest in sustainable and efficient construction, the potential for tall wood buildings is expected to grow.

**HOW TO BUILD TALLER WITH WOOD**

Heavy timber, as defined by the American Wood Council, is either sawn lumber or structural glue-laminated timber and is associated with Type IV construction. While it was once primarily used for one-story structures such as churches, schools, auditoriums, or warehouses, heavy timber, like mass timber, is being used to build taller structures and in innovative designs seeking to capture the aesthetics and benefits of building with wood. Mass timber is a product category typically characterized by the use of large, solid wood panels often manufactured off-site for wall, floor, and roof construction. Mass timber can include sawn lumber and structural glue-laminated timber. It also includes innovative forms of sculptural buildings and non-building structures formed from solid wood panel or framing systems. Products in the mass timber family include cross-laminated timber (CLT), dowel-laminated timber (DLT), glued-laminated timber (glulam), laminated strand lumber (LSL), laminated veneer lumber (LVL), mass plywood panel (MPP), nail-laminated timber (NLT or nail-lam), and timber-concrete composites (TCC). Mass timber and engineered wood products can be used in an array of applications.

**TALLER WOOD STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS: UNDERSTANDING LOAD PATHS, TRANSFER, UPLIFT FORCES, AND DUCTILITY**

Regardless of the materials used to build a structure, it must be able to manage loads and uplift forces. When building taller structures, the primary load paths can be analyzed using traditional methods such as concrete or steel. However, mass timber structural systems offer unique advantages.

### WHY BUILD TALLER WITH WOOD?

Design teams and building owners report a growing number of reasons why we should build taller with wood. In a survey report of tall wood buildings around the world, these reasons ranged from market leadership and design aesthetic to speed of construction and building performance.

- **Light-Weight Advantage and Efficient Footprints.** Timber structural systems have high building-volume-to-surface-area ratios, allowing for spacious interiors even with space constraints that typically require tall, compact designs. This means spacious interiors, even when the footprint of a building is constricted, which is frequently the case with tall, compact structures like high-rise buildings. Additionally, mass timber buildings weigh only one-fifth of traditional concrete buildings, which reduces foundation requirements. There is also opportunity for application of wood construction in projects to increase the height of existing buildings. The lighter weight of wood can allow additions to building height without foundation reinforcement that might be required if other building materials were used.

- **Tight Envelopes and Thermal Performance.** Mass timber components are fabricated with high levels of precision to ensure a tight fit using Building Information Modeling (BIM) and CNC machining. Together with wood's natural insulating properties, mass timber construction offers strong thermal performance, which is critical for high energy demands of tall buildings. For tall wood projects targeting net-zero energy or other stringent energy performance criteria, mass timber can store solar heat energy during the day and release it at night, reducing energy loads.

- **Fire Resistance.** In the event of a fire, exposed surfaces of mass timber char, protecting their inner structure, which is essential to occupant and first-responder safety in wood buildings, particularly those with multiple stories. This is reflected in the fact that the general liability insurance risks of a mass timber building versus a concrete or steel building are no different.

- **Structural and Seismic Performance.** Wood's strength-to-weight ratio is competitive with steel, but it weighs considerably less, reducing foundation loads and seismic forces and making for a resilient and safe structure. Extensive testing conducted by the Natural Hazards Research Infrastructure in 2017 validated "a seismic design methodology for 8 to 20 story tall wood buildings" that confirms "the structural integrity of the building both during and after an earthquake." These attributes of taller wood are particularly beneficial for those in regions looking to build taller in earthquake zones. In some cases, a lighter weight structure not only saves on foundation costs but allows a taller structure to be built that would not be possible with concrete and steel in compromised soil conditions.

- **Faster and Safer On-site Construction.** When it comes to taller wood, prefabricated sections can be manufactured off-site, shipped to the project and then assembled on site, significantly shortening project timelines and improving safety and accuracy. This means a lower number of workers on-site, more work being performed in controlled environments off-site, minimal cutting and coring on-site, and less temporary structures (formwork) being put in place on-site.

- **Occupant Comfort and Well-being.** A plethora of research suggests that higher density, urban environments—and in particular high-rise structures—can be stress inducing. With the growing interest in biophilic design and healthy buildings, architecture that makes use of taller wood structures offers promising results to counter such stress. Occupants of taller wood buildings have reported higher levels of comfort and satisfaction. And there is growing evidence that visual, tactile, and olfactory responses to natural materials, such as exposed timber, lower stress levels as measured by blood pressure, pulse rate, skin conductance, muscle tension, and electrical activity of the brain.

- **Market Distinction and Overall Value.** Prefabricated mass timber building systems increasingly offer added value, including environmental benefits, cost/schedule savings, higher quality and more precise construction, and in some instances, better lease rates. In a feasibility study for a 12-story mass timber mixed-use building in Seattle, Washington, using mass timber could lead to 0.5% savings "below the cost of the concrete baseline"; it caused experts to predict that leases could potentially increase by 5%; the design attained "a 15% reduction of operational cost as compared to [the] baseline"; and, finally, the project is predicted "to emit 45% less greenhouse gases," from extraction through to construction, than a concrete structure. All of these benefits led the "Tall with Timber" report to state that building with mass timber creates a "new value proposition and business model."

- **Build-up Sustainably.** Public policies on climate change and green building are increasingly calling for more sustainable ways to build up and increase density within urban environments, something taller wood construction is well suited to address. Governments, developers and clients are beginning to see the emerging economic advantages of mass timber design and construction due in part to a shift in manufacturing and supply chains and new code legislation that "now render engineered wood as cost competitive with more conventional types of construction such as concrete and steel."
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into billets, which are then resawn into specific strands or flakes with moisture resistant adhesive.”

Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL)
Consistent shapes that offer strength and ductility. It is made from flaked wood product made from soft wood or wood strands pressure-bonded together using a water-resistant adhesive and then manufactured into structural panels. Well-suited to floors, walls, and roofs, LVL can be used alone, with other wood products, or in hybrid or composite applications. LVL offers exceptional strength, dimensional stability, and rigidity and can be used in multistory and large building applications.

Dowel-laminated Timber (DLT)—common in Europe and is gaining traction in the U.S. for its ease of use with computer-controlled (CNC) machinery—such as lathes, routers and mills—and its all-wood composition. DLT is similar to nail-laminated timber (NLT). Instead of nails or screws, however, DLT uses wood dowels to join laminations. In application, DLT performs similarly to glulam and NLT. Because its grains run in one direction, DLT is well suited for flooring and roofing applications.

Glue-Laminated Timber (Glulam)—composed of individual wood laminations (dimension lumber), selected and positioned based on their performance characteristics, and then bonded together with moisture-resistant adhesives; the grain of all laminations runs parallel with the length of the member. Glulam is typically used as beams and columns; however, it can be used for floor or roof decking and is available in a range of appearance grades for both structural and architectural applications.

Laminated Strand Lumber (LSL)—an engineered wood product made from soft wood or wood strands pressure-bonded together using a water-resistant adhesive and then manufactured into consistent shapes that offer strength and ductility. It is commonly used for walls, floors, support beams, door cores, and sill plates.1

Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL)—a type of structural composite lumber (SCL) that is made by “layering dried and graded wood veneers, strands or flakes with moisture resistant adhesive” into billets, which are then resawn into specific sizes.2 Because it is an engineered wood product, it can be manufactured to meet various strength, performance, and design standards. It is often used in applications such as headers, beams, rails, rim boards, and edge-forming material.3

Heavy Timber—a term used in code referring to either sawn lumber, CLT, structural composite lumber (SCL) or structural glued-laminated timber and is often associated with Type IV construction.

Mass Plywood Panel (MPP)—consists of several layers of wood veneer which are glued and pressed together, creating a large-format wood panel. Applications are similar to CLT and can be used in multistory and large building applications.4

Mass Timber—a product category typically characterized by the use of large, solid wood panels often manufactured off-site for wall, floor, and roof construction; includes sawn lumber and structural glued-laminated timber; includes structures formed from solid wood panel or framing systems. The IBC defines mass timber as structural elements of Type IV construction primarily of solid, built-up, panelized or engineered wood products that meet minimum cross section dimensions of Type IV construction.

Nail-Laminated Strand Timber (NLT)—individual dimension lumber, stacked on edge, and fastened using nails into a single structural element. Applications for NLT include flooring, decking, roofing and walls, as well as elevator and stair shafts.

Parallel Strand Lumber (PSL)—made from flaked wood strands longer than those used to create LSL; these strands are then formed into a large billet using a waterproof adhesive and are afterwards cured to create a uniform, engineered wood. It can be used in headers, beams, columns, and lintels.5

Taller Wood—while subjective and ever-evolving, taller wood buildings for the purposes of this course, will be considered structures greater than six stories, exceeding what the 2018 IBC allows.

Timber-Concrete Composites (TCC)—a hybrid material where timber and concrete are structurally connected. Connectors can be bespoke, proprietary, or created by drilling screws between the timber and concrete. TCC can be used for floor panels to reduce cross sections or to increase spans.6
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Glossary

Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT)—dimension lumber (typically three, five, seven, or customized layers) oriented at right angles to one another and then glued to form structural panels. Well-suited to floors, walls, and roofs, CLT can be used alone, with other wood products, or in hybrid or composite applications. CLT offers exceptional strength, dimensional stability, and rigidity and can be used in multistory and large building applications.

Dowel-laminated Timber (DLT)—common in Europe and is gaining traction in the U.S. for its ease of use with computer-controlled (CNC) machinery—such as lathes, routers and mills—and its all-wood composition. DLT is similar to nail-laminated timber (NLT). Instead of nails or screws, however, DLT uses wood dowels to join laminations. In application, DLT performs similarly to glulam and NLT. Because its grains run in one direction, DLT is well suited for flooring and roofing applications.

Glue-Laminated Timber (Glulam)—composed of individual wood laminations (dimension lumber), selected and positioned based on their performance characteristics, and then bonded together with moisture-resistant adhesives; the grain of all laminations runs parallel with the length of the member. Glulam is typically used as beams and columns; however, it can be used for floor or roof decking and is available in a range of appearance grades for both structural and architectural applications.

Laminated Strand Lumber (LSL)—an engineered wood product made from soft wood or wood strands pressure-bonded together using a water-resistant adhesive and then manufactured into consistent shapes that offer strength and ductility. It is commonly used for walls, floors, support beams, door cores, and sill plates.1

Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL)—a type of structural composite lumber (SCL) that is made by “layering dried and graded wood veneers, strands or flakes with moisture resistant adhesive” into billets, which are then resawn into specific sizes.2 Because it is an engineered wood product, it can be manufactured to meet various strength, performance, and design standards. It is often used in applications such as headers, beams, rails, rim boards, and edge-forming material.3

Heavy Timber—a term used in code referring to either sawn lumber, CLT, structural composite lumber (SCL) or structural glued-laminated timber and is often associated with Type IV construction.

Mass Plywood Panel (MPP)—consists of several layers of wood veneer which are glued and pressed together, creating a large-format wood panel. Applications are similar to CLT and can be used in multistory and large building applications.4

Mass Timber—a product category typically characterized by the use of large, solid wood panels often manufactured off-site for wall, floor, and roof construction; includes sawn lumber and structural glued-laminated timber; includes structures formed from solid wood panel or framing systems. The IBC defines mass timber as structural elements of Type IV construction primarily of solid, built-up, panelized or engineered wood products that meet minimum cross section dimensions of Type IV construction.

Nail-Laminated Strand Timber (NLT)—individual dimension lumber, stacked on edge, and fastened using nails into a single structural element. Applications for NLT include flooring, decking, roofing and walls, as well as elevator and stair shafts.

Parallel Strand Lumber (PSL)—made from flaked wood strands longer than those used to create LSL; these strands are then formed into a large billet using a waterproof adhesive and are afterwards cured to create a uniform, engineered wood. It can be used in headers, beams, columns, and lintels.5

Taller Wood—while subjective and ever-evolving, taller wood buildings for the purposes of this course, will be considered structures greater than six stories, exceeding what the 2018 IBC allows.

Timber-Concrete Composites (TCC)—a hybrid material where timber and concrete are structurally connected. Connectors can be bespoke, proprietary, or created by drilling screws between the timber and concrete. TCC can be used for floor panels to reduce cross sections or to increase spans.6

Selecting a Structural Approach

In addition to the stability and strength needed to account for load paths and uplift forces, the spatial arrangement of the building needs to be considered. The intended use of the building—commercial or residential—will be the first step in determining the structural approach. After that, the structural system will determine the architecture. Alternatively, the architectural strategy can be determined prior to the structural system; however, this has the potential to lead to higher costs and inefficiencies. A third strategy to employ when selecting a structural approach is a combination of the two aforementioned options. Assessing the attributes of the different systems while assessing other needs of the building can lead to a more refined strategy.24

Platform.

Light-frame structural approaches are generally the most common type of wood construction in North America, and each type of light-frame construction is best suited for specific applications. For instance, platform construction, where individual floors are framed separately, is primarily used in residential applications. More specifically, platform framing involves load-carrying elements each one story in height, whether posts or panels; each floor forms a platform for the construction of the next.

Balloon.

Balloon frames, on the other hand, involve vertical structural members that span at least two stories; the floor is hung off of a ledger connected to the
wall and forms a platform for the construction of the next floor. The columns are superimposed one above the other, with end grain-to-end grain bearing. As opposed to platform framing, balloon is often used in industrial or commercial applications. Light-frame construction can be combined with mass timber assemblies to form a hybrid building system suited for building taller midrise structures with wood.

Massive timber panel systems are load bearing. Used in residential construction, massive panel systems are highly compartmented. This implies little need for future reconstruction, as well as adherence to local codes. Post-and-Beam Systems.
As opposed to massive timber panel systems, post-and-beam systems are used in commercial applications. Post-and-beam systems require fewer structural joints, allow for open floor plans, and require no load-bearing walls.

Hybrid Systems.
Hybrid systems can utilize wood, steel, and concrete to capitalize on their various performance properties. These systems are often employed in the creation of podium structures for mixed use buildings. As noted above, hybrid systems can also include a combination of light-frame wood and mass timber components.

Choosing the Best Structural System for a Building’s Function: Residential buildings will likely be more compartmented with bearing walls while commercial uses will call for more flexible, open floor plans, more easily achieved using a system of bearing posts connected by beams.

---

**QUIZ**

1. Sawn lumber or structural glue-laminated timber that is associated with Type IV construction is _______. It was once primarily used for one-story structures such as churches, schools, auditoriums, or warehouses.
   a. Mass Timber
   b. Lightframe Wood Construction
   c. Heavy Timber
   d. All of the Above

2. A product category typically characterized by the use of large, solid wood panels often manufactured off-site for wall, floor, and roof construction. _______ can include sawn lumber and structural glue-laminated timber.
   a. Mass Timber
   b. Lightframe Wood Construction
   c. Heavy Timber
   d. All of the Above

3. Which of the following are reasons to build taller with wood?
   a. Sustainability and Efficient Carbon Footprints
   b. Tight Envelopes, Thermal Performance, and Excellent fire resistance
   c. Structural and Seismic Performance; Safer On-Site Construction; Occupant Well-Being
   d. All of the Above

4. _______ , where individual floors are framed separately, is primarily used in residential applications. This framing involves load-carrying elements each one story in height, whether posts or panels; each floor forms a platform for the construction of the next.
   a. Platform
   b. Balloon
   c. Massive Timber Panel Systems
   d. Post and Beam System

5. _______ are load bearing and can be made from CLT. Used in residential construction, massive panel systems are highly compartmented. This implies little need for future reconstruction, as well as adherence to local codes.
   a. Platform
   b. Balloon
   c. Massive Timber Panel Systems
   d. Post and Beam System

6. Which kind of wood chars on the outside, protecting its inner layers as well as driving moisture from the exterior of the wood to the interior?
   a. Light Frame Wood Construction
   b. Mass Timber, Heavy Timber, and Engineered Timber
   c. Both A and B
   d. None of the Above

7. Five-layer CLT panels, when combined with layers of other material, have the potential to achieve STC ratings of up to ______.
   a. 39
   b. 60
   c. 59

8. “______ to ______ percent of global CO₂ emissions and 12 to 19 percent of global FF [fossil fuel] consumption by using 34 to 100 percent of the world’s sustainable wood growth.”
   a. 1–5
   b. 2–6
   c. 3–30
   d. 4–31

9. Mass timber is capable of meeting up to _____ hours of fire resistance with and without gypsum protection.
   a. 0
   b. 1
   c. 2
   d. 3

10. _______ consists of dimension lumber (typically three, five, or seven or customized layers) oriented at right angles to one another and then glued to form structural panels.
    a. CLT
    b. Glulam
    c. LSL
    d. LVL

---
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KNOWING THE OPTIONS: DIFFERENT MASS TIMBER AND ENGINEERED WOOD PRODUCTS
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CRACKING THE CODE TO BUILD TALLER

The IBC classifies five major construction types, each with subcategories and maximum permissible heights. Type I-A (Unlimited), I-B (180’), II-A (85’), II-B (75’) are for noncombustible construction. Type III-A (85’), III-B (75’), V-A (70’), and V-B (60’) are for light frame wood construction. Type IV-HT (85’) pertains to heavy timber. Each subcategory has its own fire resistance requirements and these height limits are only permitted on buildings equipped throughout with an NFPA 13 sprinkler system.

While fire protection regarding mass timber will be discussed in greater detail in upcoming sections, it is worthwhile to note that, under the new tall wood construction types to be included in the 2021 IBC, all mass timber elements in Type IV-A and most mass timber elements in type IV-B will require the application of a noncombustible material: “This noncombustible material applied to the mass timber helps determine fire behavior by delaying the contribution of the mass timber structure in a fire and has an added benefit of increasing the fire-resistance rating of the mass timber element.”

Writing for WoodWorks, Breneman, Timmers, and Richardson summarize additional requirements for Types IV-A, IV-B, and IV-C:

- “No exposed mass timber in concealed spaces; concealed space permitted only with noncombustible protection as required for the interior mass timber
- Exterior side of exterior walls protected by a noncombustible material—e.g., 5/8” Type X gypsum sheathing

Many of these changes were proposed in April 2018 and adopted by the ICC in January 2019. Other changes to code, such as material inspections and material-specific modifications, were be submitted to the ICC in 2019. Some states, such as Oregon and Washington, already allow for this construction type in anticipation of the release of IBC 2021.

TALLER WOOD BUILDING PERFORMANCE

Regardless of the structural approach chosen, fire, seismic, acoustic, and thermal standards are all critical to design and material choice.

Fire Protection

Fire performance of mass timber, and specifically exposed fire resistance, suffers more from misperception than lack of research data. Despite current tests and codes, as well as emerging codes, the use of existing code provisions has not been commonplace in modern commercial construction; therefore, jurisdictional comfortability with an expanded use of those provisions for the purpose of CLT design has presented a challenge. This has started to change, however, with a growing groundswell of support for greater use of mass timber and taller wood construction.

The fire protection properties of heavy timber, mass timber, and engineered timber should not be confused with light-wood frame structures. When massive wood elements are in the midst of a fire, the outside of the wood chars, both protecting the inner layers of wood as well as driving moisture from the exterior of the wood to the interior. In other words, while the outside of the wood burns, the inside remains unharmed. The predictability of wood’s char rate has been well-established for decades and has also been recognized for years in U.S. building codes and standards.

Even though massive wood elements have natural qualities that resist complete burning, there are additional steps that can be taken for further protection. Mass timber or heavy timber products can be encapsulated in gypsum wallboard, a fire-resistant material. This can be done fully or partially. If done partially, the structure and the ceilings of the building would typically be encapsulated.

Seismic

As structural engineer and mass timber expert Robert Malczyk asserts, “mass timber and CLT’s strength lies in its durability against seismic forces. First and foremost, buildings constructed with CLT are lightweight. The weight of cross-laminated timber is six-times less than that of concrete. Seismically, this means the strength of an earthquake a building is designed to resist is directly proportionate to the weight of a building.”

There has also been a proliferation of industry and academic research initiatives to build out the body of knowledge on mass timber structural performance in North American applications.

CANADIAN CODE

Canadian code, too, has progressed to include taller mass timber structures. Prior to these changes, as of 2015 the National Building Code of Canada (NBCC) permits light wood-frame structures of up to six stories. The new 2020 NBCC will permit 12 stories of mass timber construction, taking into account its strength and fire resistance ratings. Some provinces, such as British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec have already permitted mass timber structures of at least 12 stories in advance of these code changes.

As with the 2021 IBC, the mass timber should be “encapsulated” with Gyproc or other materials that resist the spread of fire. The new codes permit the use of mass timber with a minimum thickness of 96 mm and a minimum 50-minute fire rating. Apart from balconies and some ceilings, the mass timber cannot be exposed.

TAKE THE HEAT: There is an inherent fire resistance to heavy or mass timber, such as CLT shown here, because of the layer of char that occurs during a fire that protects the inner structure of the beam or panel.
The increase of wood volume raises necessary questions about the additional potential for structural contribution to combustion and what it means for fire safety. Rigorous testing demonstrates tall timber construction is safe and has led to code changes in the U.S. and Canada.

**U.S. Testing**
Tests by the American Wood Council (AWC) and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF) in collaboration with the U.S. Forest Service demonstrate that it is possible to build a cross-laminated timber (CLT) building that exceeds code requirements for fire performance, even when timber is left exposed.

The five tests were summarized in USDA Lab Notes:

- **Test 1:** a mass timber structure fully protected with gypsum wall board was subjected to a large furnishings and contents fire. The test was terminated after three hours without significant charring on the protected wood surfaces of the structure.
- **Test 2:** approximately 30 percent of the CLT ceiling area in the living room and bedroom were left exposed. The test was terminated after four hours, providing additional time to determine if there would be any significant fire contribution from the exposed CLT. Notably, once the furnishings and contents had been consumed by the fire, the exposed CLT essentially self-extinguished due to the formation of char that protected the underlying wood.
- **Test 3:** parallel CLT walls were left exposed, one in the living room and one in the bedroom. Similar to Test 2, once the apartment furnishings and contents had been consumed by the fire, during which a protective surface of char formed on the CLT, the mass timber surfaces essentially self-extinguished.
- **Test 4 and 5:** examined the effects of sprinkler protection. For both tests, all mass timber surfaces in the living room and bedroom were left exposed. Test 4 demonstrated that under normal operating conditions, a single sprinkler easily contained the fire. For Test 5, the fire was allowed to grow in the compartment for 23 minutes before water was supplied to the sprinklers which quickly controlled the fire. 

**Canadian Testing**
Full-scale fire tests completed by FP Innovations and funded by Natural Resources Canada and others are intended to help address this issue. In association with a 13-story mass timber demonstration project (12 stories of CLT over one story of concrete) in Quebec, the provincial government funded full-scale CLT fire tests to prove CLT’s equivalence to two-hour-rated noncombustible construction.

- One series of full-scale compartment tests compared the performance of light-gauge steel, light-frame wood, and CLT. Tests included a three-story encapsulated CLT apartment simulation that ran for three hours. Results of the apartment simulation show the effectiveness of encapsulation in significantly delaying CLT’s potential contribution to fire growth and proved that the structure can withstand complete burnout.
- Another test focused on a 25-foot CLT stair/ elevator shaft (exposed on the inside face with two layers of gypsum protection on the fire side) and studied the smoke propagation and leakage as well as its structural stability as a fire exit. The test ran for two hours and showed no sign of smoke or heat penetration into the shaft.
- Research recently completed by FP Innovations and funded by Natural Resources Canada/The Canadian Forest Service evaluated the ability of selected fire stops and sealing joints in CLT assemblies, both for panel joints and around through penetrations to prevent the passage of hot gases and limit heat transfer. Results showed that products commercially available for use in light-frame and concrete construction are also feasible for CLT applications.

**Progress in Seismic Performance**
Progress has been made in addressing seismic concerns in mass timber buildings in earthquake zones such as the Pacific Northwest. For example, the primary lateral support for earthquake and wind loading for the University of British Columbia’s Brock Commons Tallwood House is provided by two concrete cores. Nearby, UBC’s 4-storey Bioenergy Research and Demonstration Facility and 5-storey Earth Sciences Building are the recent applications of innovative mass timber designs that could provide valuable lessons for the construction of taller wood buildings. Examples of innovative ductile details in these buildings include steel box connectors integrated into glulam beam and column members, glulam Chevron braces, knife-plate connectors to attach glulam beams to glulam columns, glulam braces to glulam beams and glulam beams to CLT walls.

Investigation of testing protocols for evaluation of in-plane shear strength of CLT panels is ongoing. These and other efforts have led to the new “Acceptance Criteria for Cross-Laminated Timber Panels for Use as Components in Floor and Roof Decks” (AC455) from the ICC Evaluation Service. This product evaluation standard is generally compatible with the ANSI/APA PRG 320 qualification requirements with a notable addition of testing procedures for evaluating the in-plane strength of CLT panels. Having acceptance criteria for CLT panels allows manufacturers to pursue directed testing culminating in an ICC-ES evaluation report. Evaluation reports are helpful in gaining jurisdictional approval for new materials, further assisting designers. Current North American CLT manufacturers are beginning to provide evaluation and product reports.

**Acoustic**
Everything from the shape of a room, objects within a room, traffic, HVAC equipment, and the materials a space is comprised of all impact acoustics. In buildings, sound is either airborne or stems from impact. Airborne sound can include speech, HVAC equipment, music, or other ambient noise. Impact sound transmission includes footfall or the sound of dropped objects. To mitigate the effects of airborne or impact sound transmission, there are a variety of sound isolation options.

The most straightforward option to address sound transmission is through the design of the floor, ceiling, and wall assemblies. Green and Taggart maintain that “the most comprehensive data [on sound isolation and CLT] come from the French Institute for Forest-Based and Furniture Sector (FCBA) [...]” and in collaboration with FP Innovations to establish that a standard five-layer CLT panel has an STC rating of 39 and an IIC rating of 24. “STC” stands for “Sound Transmission Class” and refers to how well a floor or wall assembly minimizes the amount of air borne sound that passes through it. The ratings for five-layer CLT panels have the potential to reach up to STC 60 and an impact insulation class (IIC) rating of 59; these ratings equate to best performance ratings for partitions. These STC and IIC ratings are only possible with the addition of multiple layers of materials in addition to the CLT panel.

For wall assemblies, a variety of measures can be taken to mitigate sound transmission when
building with mass timber. For instance, the outside face of each wall can be lined with gypsum wallboard; two frames can be used with a small gap between them; interior cavities can be filled with insulation; and discontinuity between panels can be employed. For floor and ceiling assemblies, materials that absorb sound, such as carpeting or rubber can be used; in Europe, rubber is often placed underneath the floor between it and the ceiling beneath. Depth and thickness should also be taken into consideration for both wall and floor/ceiling assemblies, as well as other aesthetic or architectural finishes that are being specified.

**Thermal**

Thermal performance contributes to a range of important goals for most projects, including energy efficiency, comfort, durability, code compliance, structural integrity, and sustainable outcomes. At a basic level and for any building enclosure, a material’s ability to manage air, vapor, and moisture should be taken into account when planning for thermal performance. For tall wood, a main consideration, as previously noted, is that wood is susceptible to damage such as shrinkage from long-term or sustained exposure to moisture.

However, unlike concrete and steel, wood does not need a thermal break between the structural and exterior envelope.

**TRUE OR FALSE: IF WE USE MORE WOOD, WE’LL HAVE LESS FOREST.**

FALSE: Forest management operates under layers of federal, state/provincial, and local regulations and guidelines that foresters and harvesting professionals must follow to protect water quality, wildlife habitat, soil and other resources. According to the USDA Forest Service, more than 44 million acres of private forestland could be converted to housing development in the next three decades. In the U.S., where 56 percent of forests are privately owned, strong markets for wood products help to ensure that landowners derive value from their investment. This provides an incentive not only to keep lands forested, but to manage them sustainably for long-term health. Canada reported no change in forest growth and twice as much wood is being grown each year as is harvested. In both countries, responsible forest management has resulted in more than 50 consecutive years of net forest growth that exceeds annual forest harvests. The rate of deforestation has been virtually zero for decades; however, the value of forest land in agriculture and real estate maintains pressure to convert.

---

**TALLER WOOD DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION CHECKLIST**


*Pre-Design:*

- Do not take the traditional design-bid-build approach; include and identify the builder and specialty subcontractor early in the project; coordinate design, pricing, logistics, and schedule
- Establish cost milestones and design goals and include mass timber scope for architect and engineering consultants
- Utilize 3D modeling and consider workflow; coordinate with building systems and identify engineering scope for mass timber to be integrated with other systems

**Schematic Design Optimization:**

- Approach mass timber design as modular system design based on 8'; maximize panel size to avoid wastage and additional machine cutting; consider shipping container limits if bringing materials from overseas
- Coordinate structure, vibration, fire resistance, and acoustic systems; think about floor, roof, load bearing walls, and lateral force-resisting walls; consider utilizing a mass timber core; consider wet vs. dry toppings in terms of acoustics; consider the appearance of exposed timber; keep in mind that local jurisdictions may need more information on mass timber and fire resistance ratings

**Design Development Design Optimization:**

- Calculate fire resistance for exposed mass timber and determine whether caulking is needed
- Have a “Plan B”; consider the use of a hybrid system
- Evaluate manufacturers and compare prefabricated systems to others; consider surface coatings for aesthetics, durability, and added protection
- Keep key details in mind for type of mass timber used; for example, for beam to column joints, consider what will be exposed or concealed and take appropriate fire-resistance actions

**Design Development Cost Optimization:**

- On-site crane time can be reduced because of lighter weight of wood panels
- Mass timber can be built in severe weather conditions and still achieve cost savings; trades can begin work soon after panels are delivered on-site
- Less waste, fewer deliveries, and less site disruption equate to additional cost savings

---

“More CO₂ can be sequestered synergistically in the products or wood energy and landscape together than in the unharvested landscape. Harvesting sustainably at an optimum stand age will sequester more carbon in the combined products, wood energy, and forest than harvesting sustainably at other ages.”

(Carbon, Fossil Fuel, and Biodiversity Mitigation With Wood and Forests)
Prefabricated mass timber components such as glue-laminated timber (glulam) beams—as well as cross-laminated timber (CLT), dowel-laminated timber (DLT) and nail-laminated timber (NLT) wall, floor and roof panels—are finding use in projects in North America. Due to their thickness, these wood products deliver thermal insulation and thermal mass. For projects seeking to meet net-zero energy or other stringent energy performance criteria, wood can store solar heat energy during the day and release it at night, reducing energy loads.

THE FUTURE OF TALL WOOD CONSTRUCTION

Building taller with wood is not only gaining traction as a viable building method, it has also been shown to be cost effective, contribute to well-being, and reduce carbon emissions. While code is still evolving, the pool of testing data on mass timber—particularly in regard to fire resistance—is also continuing to grow. Ongoing public, jurisdictional, and industry education is needed to change the perception of mass timber construction and to demonstrate the safe effective role it can play as a primary structural material in taller buildings. As these sectors become more knowledgeable, the momentum started by innovative buildings such as Brock Commons in Vancouver and T3 in Minneapolis can continue to grow, providing benefits to the industry, public, and the environment.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS: IS BUILDING TALLER WITH WOOD SUSTAINABLE?

Writing for the Architect’s Newspaper, Olivia Martin claims, “The building sector is responsible for 44.6% of US carbon dioxide emissions. And, with an estimated 1.9 trillion billion square feet to be built in the next 33 years, those emissions will not subside without significant intervention.” Martin advocates building with timber to reduce the carbon footprint. Timber is not only a renewable resource—it also does not need to be imported and is itself able to reduce carbon emissions. As a renewable resource, wood requires photosynthesis rather than machinery to develop and produce itself. Because trees grow across North America, there is also no need to import timber, which not only saves on shipping costs but removes the pollution and energy associated with shipping. Finally, one study estimates that using wood as a building material could save “4 to 31 percent of global CO₂ emissions and 12 to 19 percent of global FF [fossil fuel] consumption by using 34 to 100 percent of the world’s sustainable wood growth.”

Growing forests absorb carbon dioxide and release oxygen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural regeneration and planting</th>
<th>Oxygen released</th>
<th>Carbon absorbed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>begin the cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carbon storage plateaus in older forests and can slowly be released as trees decay or burn

Gradual release CO₂

Wood buildings store carbon and it remains stored over the lifetime of the building

Harvesting for wood products ensures that carbon continues to be stored

1. Brock Commons Tallwood House at the University of British Columbia is an 18-storey wood building completed in 2017. Carbon stored and avoided greenhouse gas emissions: 2,432 metric tons of CO₂, equivalent to 511 cars off the road for a year.

2. Mountain Equipment Co-op Headquarters in Vancouver, British Columbia was completed in 2014. Carbon stored and avoided greenhouse gas emissions: 2,940 metric tons of CO₂, equivalent to 618 cars off the road for a year.

TAKE TALL WOOD TO THE NEXT LEVEL

Check out these resources to learn more:
- Find the latest resources on taller wood building at ThinkWood.com
- Get free one-on-one project support at WoodWorks.org
- Learn more about tall mass timber code at AWC.org
- Search the science of taller wood at research.thinkwood.com
CASE STUDY 1

Brock Commons Tallwood House
Vancouver, Canada
Architect: Acton Ostry Architects and Hermann Kaufmann Architekten
Structural Engineer: Fast + Epp

Project Overview
At 18 stories tall and 174 feet, Brock Commons, a mass timber hybrid residence, is the tallest contemporary wood building in the world. It was built for the University of British Columbia (UBC) to meet rising student housing demands and has the capacity for 400 students. Crucial to the effort was an integrated design team consisting, in part, of the construction manager, timber installer, and concrete trades.

Wood Use and Tall Wood Building Systems
As described by Structure Magazine, “The structure is comprised of 17 stories of five-ply cross-laminated timber (CLT) floor panels, a concrete transfer slab on the second floor, and a steel framed roof. The CLT panels are point supported on glulam columns on a 9.35- x 13.1-foot (2.85m x 4.0m) grid. Beams were eliminated from the design by utilizing CLT’s two-way spanning capabilities.” Two concrete cores provide increased earthquake and wind loading. There are also back-up water and electrical supplies, ensuring that the sprinkler system will not fail even if there is a power outage or earthquake. The structure exceeds seismic codes.

This design also permitted for the majority of the building to be comprised of prefabricated components, allowing for faster, minimal on-site construction. Two floors were completed per week, one CLT panel took six to twelve minutes to install, and it took five to ten minutes to install one glulam column. Because the CLT was spanned two ways, it created a flat surface that allowed for “unobstructed service distribution.” The maximum available panel size was chosen to fit the grid, which further increased the efficiency of the build.

The wood itself was sustainably sourced with renewable wood products from Canada. Comprised of 2,233 cubic meters of CLT and glulam, which is replenished in six minutes by US and Canadian forests. There is also the potential carbon benefit of 2,432 metric tons. These factors contributed to the university’s sustainability efforts, ultimately earning LEED Gold certification.

Key Challenges and Solutions
The major challenge to this project was British Columbia’s current building code: the BCBC 2012 permitted a maximum of 6 stories for wood buildings. Because of this, the building was completed based on a Site Specific Regulation, provided by the Building Safety and Standards Branch of the BC Provincial Government, granted only for this project.

Because of the nuances of the project, two peer reviews were created: one by Merz Kley Partner ZT GmbH in Dornbirn, Austria and the other by Read Jones Christoffersen Consulting Engineers in Vancouver. Another third-party consultant, CadMakers, helped with the prefabrication process. By first modelling the building, then coordinating design documents, the integrated design team was able to view elements of the project in real time, and documents were converted into fabrication files for CNC machining.

A final challenge was accounting for column shortage and shrinkage. To offset these possibilities, a series of 1/16-inch thick steel shim plates were added at the column-to-column connections on three carefully chosen levels.

Lessons Learned
Building with mass timber is economically viable, sustainable, and enables easy access to urban infill sites. It is also possible to exceed existing code when building with mass timber, to lower environmental impact, and reduce waste. Santa J. Ono, President of UBC noted the ways in which building with wood enabled the university to continue its leadership in sustainable construction and recognized wood as “an important, innovative and safe material choice.”

As a result of offsite prefabrication, Brock Commons Tallwood House was completed by a crew of nine wood installers 70 days after the prefabricated components were first delivered to the site—two months faster than planned. PHOTO CREDIT:
CASE STUDY 2

T3: Timber, Transit, and Technology
Minneapolis, MN
Developer: Hines Interests Limited Partnership
Design Architect: Michael Green Architect (MGA)
Architect of Record: DLR Group
Completed: 2016

Project Overview
The 7-story T3, upon completion in 2016, was the first multi-story, commercial mass timber building of this height built in the U.S. in the last 100 years. The building was created with progressive businesses in mind that wanted to attract the best talent; it was also built to maintain the appearance of historic wood buildings while contributing to modern sustainability, biophilic, and wellbeing goals. Ultimately, “by blending a vintage design with modern office amenities and features, all while maintaining […] sustainable integrity,” T3 has helped its tenants, including Amazon, attract key professionals.

Wood Use and Tall Wood Building Systems
Michael Green Architecture, the Design Architect on the project, states the following uses of wood in T3:
- “Approximately 3,600 cubic meters of wood are used in the structure, which will sequester about 3,200 tons of carbon for the life of the building.”
- Timber was erected at a speed exceeding conventional steel-framed or concrete buildings—completed in just 2.5 months at an average of 9 days per floor.
- Over 1100 8’x20’ NLT (Nail Laminated Timber) panels are used in the project—the equivalent square footage of nine hockey rinks.
- The majority of NLT was made of lumber from trees killed by the mountain pine beetle.

The NLT used in the ceiling is exposed, which is a 19th century technique known as mill decking. The NLT, in this instance comprised of spruce, pine, and fir, is joined by nails running through the planks, enabling it to carry heavy loads. The NLT is capped with sound insulation and a polished concrete pad. The supporting structure is glulam spruce sourced in Austria; the beams rest on notched columns, which in turn are reinforced by steel plates. Overall, it is estimated that T3 is 30% lighter than steel and 60% lighter than the equivalent post-tensioned concrete.

Hines, the developer on T3, notes the environmental benefits to the wood used on the project:
- “It takes just 15 minutes for U.S. and Canadian forests to grow the amount of wood used in T3.”
- T3 will take the equivalent of 996 cars off the road for a year due to its wood construction.
- To sustain forests, T3’s construction uses young trees instead of old growth trees.

Lessons Learned
Because T3’s structure weighs approximately 60% lighter than the equivalent post-tensioned concrete, its lightness helped reduce foundation size, seismic loads, and embodied energy. The mass timber also led to cost savings in interior finishes since the structure does not need to be hidden. The use of timber, rather than concrete, also equates to a lower carbon footprint, where over the lifespan of the building, the wood will continue to store carbon. The environmental and design attributes of T3 have led to its being LEED Gold Certified and has garnered it several awards, including the 2017 International Wood Design Award.
MINI CASE STUDY 1

Origine
Developer: NEB group
Architect: Yvan Blouin Architect
Code Consultants: Technorm, GHL Consultants
Engineering: Timber: Nordic; Mechanical: Genecor Experts-Conseils; Civil: Groupe conseil SID inc.
Mass Timber Supplier: Nordic Structures
General Contractor: EBC
Erection of the Structure: Les Constructions FGP
Laboratories: FPInnovations, the National Research Council of Canada
Completed: 2017

Project Overview
Origine is a 13 story, 92-unit building with a lateral resistance system made entirely of wood materials. At 134 feet high, it is the tallest modern building with a 100% wood structure.

Wood Use and Tall Wood Building Systems
The 109,864 ft$^3$ that comprise Origine’s structure capture 2,295 tons of CO$_2$. The use of wood also avoided 1,000 tons of CO$_2$ emissions. Additionally, because the soil at the building site has low bearing capacity, the comparatively light weight of wood permitted the building to be taller. The same building in that location made from concrete would have only been six stories high because the floating foundation could not have supported the weight of the structure.

Key Challenges and Solutions
Designers had to work around the existing Quebec Construction Code, which addressed wood buildings of only five or six stories high. The Canadian government helped the project team to do two years of testing and research on the fire-resistant properties of wood, as well as design solutions for building with wood.

Lessons Learned
After conducting tests, project teams developed innovative solutions to satisfy Canadian code. For instance, horizontal sprinkler systems made from combustible materials were permitted by code. Also, electrical wiring was passed through holes in floors that were treated for fire resistance and were ultimately fed through a shared shaft. Finally, BIM software was used to coordinate all of the project teams.

MINI CASE STUDY 2

Carbon 12
Architect: Path Architecture
Developer: Kaiser Group
Structural Engineer: Munzing Structural Engineering
Completed: 2018

Project Overview
Carbon 12 is an eight-story, 85 feet tall condominium tower in Portland, Oregon. It has 14 residential units, underground parking, and retail space.

Wood Use and Tall Wood Building Systems
The structure of Carbon 12 is comprised of 242 crosslam CLT panels, 234 glulam columns, and 336 glulam beams. Building with wood permitted faster and safer construction, CO2 sequestration, and a small footprint.

Key Challenges and Solutions
At the time of building, Type III-A construction, under Oregon code and the IBC, was only permitted to be five stories. Projects teams had to follow the path stipulated in Section 104.11 for Alternative Materials and Methods Requests. The size of the mass timber used was increased for an additional char layer, gypsum board encapsulates the steel core, and wood used in exterior walls was treated with a fire-retardant material to meet fire codes.

Lessons Learned
BIM software allowed teams to communicate throughout the design and construction processes. Mike Munzing, structural engineer, claims, "The biggest lesson learned would be to assemble the design team early during the design process, including the wood fabricator and MEP consultants/contractors."

Right: Located in Portland Oregon and completed in 2018, Carbon 12 is an eight-story, 85-foot tall condominium that met its code requirements by way of an Alternative Materials and Methods Requests. Since that time, the State of Oregon has made changes to their building codes to allow for mass timber buildings up to 18 stories, in accordance with the 2021 International Building Code.


